Total Incidents Reported per Month

This table shows the number of incidents that have occurred in each District, shown month by month, with a YTD total for each month. For example, Auckland City District received 25 incident reports in February, with a total number of incidents received at the end of February of 47.

It is to be noted that numbers of staff vary between districts and this will be reflected in the varying numbers of complaints in each district.

Jan - Jun 2018												
Districts	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Auckland City	22	25	29	18	19	16						
YTD		47	76	94	113	129						
Bay Of Plenty	22	13	23	12	24	6						
YTD		35	58	70	94	100						
Canterbury	28	36	27	15	24	23						
YTD		64	91	106	130	153						
Central	18	16	20	16	24	8						
YTD		34	54	70	94	102						
Counties/Manukau	20	22	12	23	28	13						
YTD		42	54	77	105	118						
Eastern	16	7	11	15	10	5						
YTD		23	34	49	59	64						
Northland	11	11	7	5	12	5						
YTD		22	29	34	46	51						
Southern	14	15	23	12	20	8						
YTD		29	52	64	84	92						

Districts	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Jan - Jun 2018												
Tasman	6	9	15	7	12	11						
YTD		15	30	37	49	**60**						
Waikato	12	18	16	17	14	18						
YTD		30	46	63	77	**95**						
Waitematā	16	18	26	14	21	24						
YTD		34	60	74	95	**119**						
Wellington	23	27	23	34	24	17						
YTD		50	73	107	131	**148**						
Totals (Districts only)	208	217	232	188	232	154						
Total YTD		425	657	845	1,077	1,231						
Service Centres	26	15	21	18	8	10						
YTD		56	92	117	137	158						
Totals (with Service Centres)	234	232	253	206	240	164						
Total YTD		466	719	925	1,165	1,329						

Disclaimer

The information contained in this document is based on data extracted from an operational database that contains over 50,000 records, the majority of which date from prior to the introduction of the current database application on 1 July 2009, and improved data structures gradually and continuously introduced from December 2010 onwards. Therefore not all the data is reliable and may result in omissions and/or errors, especially prior to 2011. The data is dynamic and subject to change as new information is received. While quality assurance has been undertaken on some low-volume critical incident types (e.g. Police use of firearms resulting in injury or death), and reviews are undertaken of database records as and when anomalies are identified, quality assurance of all the data would require the manual checking of many thousands of hard copy and electronic files, which is beyond the resources available to NZ Police.