

This table shows the number of incidents that have occurred in each District, shown month by month, with a YTD total for each month. For example, Auckland City District received 28 incident reports in February, with a total number of incidents received at the end of February of 56.

It is to be noted that numbers of staff vary between districts and this will be reflected in the varying numbers of complaints in each district.

Jan - Jun 2020													
Districts		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Auckland City		28	28	35	31	38	36						
	YTD		56	91	122	160	196						
Bay Of Plenty		22	20	22	23	23	28						
	YTD		42	64	87	110	138						
Canterbury		34	41	46	34	41	39						
	YTD		75	121	155	196	235						
Central		28	41	33	23	31	26						
	YTD		69	102	125	156	182						
Counties/Manukau		16	22	26	25	40	28						
	YTD		38	64	89	129	157						
Eastern		9	19	13	9	17	16						
	YTD		28	41	50	67	83						
Northland		7	3	20	18	26	13						
	YTD		10	30	48	74	87						
Southern		14	25	20	16	25	24						
	YTD		39	59	75	100	124						

Jan - Jun 2020												
Districts	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Tasman	5	15	11	15	11	9						
YTD		20	31	46	57	66						
Waikato	13	24	22	24	26	38						
YTD		37	59	83	109	147						
Waitematā	28	31	24	23	37	43						
YTD		59	83	106	143	186						
Wellington	28	30	40	24	37	39						
YTD		58	98	122	159	198						
Totals (Districts only)	232	299	312	265	352	339						
Total YTD		531	843	1,108	1,460	1,799						
Service Centres	29	35	26	16	25	23						
YTD		64	90	106	131	154						
Totals (with Service Centres)	261	334	338	281	377	362						
Total YTD		595	933	1,214	1,591	1,953						

Disclaimer

The information contained in this document is based on data extracted from an operational database that contains over 50,000 records, the majority of which date from prior to the introduction of the current database application on 1 July 2009, and improved data structures gradually and continuously introduced from December 2010 onwards. Therefore not all the data is reliable and may result in omissions and/or errors, especially prior to 2011. The data is dynamic and subject to change as new information is received. While quality assurance has been undertaken on some low-volume critical incident types (e.g. Police use of firearms resulting in injury or death), and reviews are undertaken of database records as and when anomalies are identified, quality assurance of all the data would require the manual checking of many thousands of hard copy and electronic files, which is beyond the resources available to NZ Police.